## REMARKS

Applicants are submitting this Amendment and Response in reply to the Official Action dated April 2, 2009. Applicants believe that the Amendment and Response is fully responsive to the Official Action for at least the reasons set forth herein.

At the onset, Applicants note that claim 5 has been amended to remove a multiple dependency. Applicants respectfully submit new claim 11 for examination herewith. Claim 11 recites similar subject matter as claim 5 except claim 11 depends from claim 4 instead of claim 3. Accordingly, Applicants submit that new claim 11 is patentable.

Claims 6-10 have been cancelled herewith without prejudice to the subject matter of the claims being introduced into another application. Notably, Applicants submit that the subject matter of claims 6-10 is statutory.

No new matter has been added to the application by way of the aforementioned amendments.

Claims 6-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Even though Applicants believe that the subject matter is statutory, since the claims are cancelled, Applicants submit that the rejection is most and should be withdrawn.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance and henceforth solicits a Notice of Allowability. Should the Examiner believe that an interview

would expedite prosecution of the application; the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Seth Weinfeld

Registration No. 50,929

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser, P.C. 400 Garden City Plaza – Suite 300 Garden City, New York 11530 (516) 742-4343 SW:reg